Case 1.07-CV-00011-AN		<i>'</i> :	Filed 10/13/2007	rage i oi i
		į		
		ì	i •	in the second se
		•		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		į		i
		:		111-11-
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		1		10/15/67
		X	RESEASON SENSENCE SEN	The second of th
WEEKS MARINE, INC., et al.,		:	ORDER DENYING	G MOTION TO
		:	DISMISS	
Į	Plaintiff,			
-against-	,		07 Civ. 6811 (AKH)
-agamst-		•	or civ. oom (AKII))
		:		
BRIDGESTONE CORPORATION, et al.,		:		
		:		
I	Defendant.	:		
		x		

Defendant Bridgestone Corp. has moved for dismissal of the Complaint in this case, pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on the ground that the Plaintiffs have failed to state a cause of action for which relief can be granted. Defendant alleges that the Complaint fails to meet the heightened pleading standards for antitrust litigation that were recently adopted by the United States Supreme Court in Bell Atlantic v. Twombly, 127 S.Ct. 1955, 1965-1966 (2007). I find that the claims put forth in the Complaint piggyback from a government antitrust complaint and are legally sufficient, even under the new framework established by Twombly.

Accordingly I deny Defendant's Motion to Dismiss per Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6).

SO ORDERED.

Dated: October , 2

ALVIN K. HELLERSTEIN, U.S.D.J.:

New York, New York

ALVIN K. HELLERSTEIN United States District Judge